Dear Reader:

On January 31, 2024, the Georgetown Law Technology Review (GLTR) hosted a Symposium titled *Artificial Lawyering - Law in the Age of Artificial Intelligence*. Given the rapidly changing nature of artificial intelligence (AI) and generative AI, this year, we decided to reimagine the Symposium and approach the various novel legal issues that have arisen from an interdisciplinary perspective. GLTR has always been at the forefront of new technology, and in reflection of that, we remade the traditional model of a legal Symposium and a Symposium publication to focus on bridging together legal academics, government lawyers, librarians, industry leaders, and philosophers.

The Symposium was organized as three panels, each featuring experts from a variety of disciplines. The first panel invited debates about the role of generative AI in legal research. Will the use of AI in legal research ossify the law? Or is its use a growing imperative in light of the high demand for legal services? The second panel featured speakers, who talked about the role of bias, equity, and justice. How will we maintain guardrails, whether that be in diagnostic tools or audits, to ensure that these models are trustworthy, safe, and fair? The final panel closed with a discussion with lawyers from the three branches of government, each detailing the benefits and challenges of AI for all three branches. What legislation is needed? How does AI pose a threat to evidentiary rules? The fruitful conversations and questions presented in these panels are just the beginning. As AI continues to develop and grow, more issues—and hopefully, more solutions—will emerge. We hope that you enjoy the two Essays drawn from the Symposium and take it into consideration as the legal profession itself begins to wrestle with how to deal with AI.

The program for the Symposium was as follows:

**Panel One: Generative AI and Legal Research**
- Amanda Levendowski, Associate Professor of Law and Founding Director, Intellectual Property and Information Policy Clinic, Georgetown University Law Center
- Nicholas Mignanelli, Lecturer in Legal Research and Head of Reference and Research Librarian, Lillian Goldman Law Library, Yale Law School
- Ed Walters, Chief Executive Officer, Fastcase; Adjunct Professor of Law, Georgetown University Law Center
Panel Two: AI Bias, Equity, and Justice

- Jovana Davidovic, Associate Professor of Philosophy, University of Iowa; Senior Fellow, Stockdale Center for Ethical Leadership, United States Naval Academy
- Deborah Hellman, Robert E. Scott Distinguished Professor of Law, F. Palmer Weber Research Professor of Civil Liberties and Human Rights, and Director, Center for Law & Philosophy, University of Virginia School of Law
- Daryl Lim, H. Laddie Montague Jr. Chair in Law, Associate Dean for Research and Innovation, and Founding Director, Intellectual Property Law and Innovation Initiative, Penn State Dickinson Law

Panel Three: Perspectives from Government

- Avery Blank, Counsel, Homeland Security & Government Affairs Committee, United States Senate
- Zia Faruqui, Magistrate Judge, United States District Court for the District of Columbia
- Matthew Ferraro, Senior Counselor to the Secretary, United States Department of Homeland Security

This Issue also contains Justin P’ng’s student Note, which details the status and future of posthumous deepfakes. We are proud to publish his Note: it surfaces yet another issue on the rise with the advent of AI.

The Issue ends with three Technology Explainers. GLTR has always distinguished itself by its focus on bridging the gap between the legal and the technical, and Technology Explainers are our way of explaining technologies to lay audiences. In this Issue, we are excited to include Technology Explainers on generative AI, experimental jurisprudence, and decentralized prediction markets.

Thank you for reading.

Sincerely,

Sherry Tseng
Editor-in-Chief
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